Superperiods and quantum statistics of Laughlin quasiparticles
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Superperiodic conductance oscillations were recently observed in the quasiparticle interferometer, where an edge channel of the 1/3 fractional quantum Hall fluid encircles an island of the 2/5 fluid. We present a microscopic model of the origin of the 5ℏ/e flux superperiod based on the Haldane-Halperin fractional-statistics hierarchical construction of the 2/5 condensate. Since variation of the applied magnetic field does not affect the charge state of the island, the fundamental period comprises the minimal 2/5 island neutral reconstruction. The period consists of incrementing by one the state number of the e/3 Laughlin quasi-electron circling the island and the concurrent excitation of ten e/5 quasiparticles out of the island 2/5 condensate. The Berry phase quantization condition yields anyonic quasiparticle braiding statistics consistent with the hierarchical construction. We further discuss a composite fermion representation of quasiparticles consistent with the superperiods. It is shown to be in one-to-one correspondence with the Haldane-Halperin theory, provided a literal interpretation of the 2/5 condensate as comprised of an integer multiple of two Composite fermion, one-vortex blocks is postulated.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In two spatial dimensions the laws of physics allow existence of particles with fractional exchange statistics, dubbed anyons. The particles have quantum statistics Θ if upon exchange the two-particle wave function acquires a phase factor of \( \exp(i\pi\Theta) \), and, upon a closed loop, a factor of \( \exp(2i\pi\Theta) \). The integer values \( \Theta_B = 2j \) and \( \Theta_F = 2j + 1 \) describe the familiar Bose and Fermi exchange statistics, respectively. Upon execution of a closed loop both bosons and fermions produce a phase factor of +1, which is unobservable, and the statistical contribution can be safely neglected for the integer-statistics particles when describing an interference experiment, such as the Aharonov-Bohm effect. The elementary charged excitations, Laughlin quasiparticles, of a fractional quantum Hall fluid (FQH) electron fluid, have a fractional electric charge and were predicted to be anyons, that is, to obey fractional exchange statistics.

Recent experiments on electron interferometer devices in the quantum Hall regime, where electrons encircle a two-dimensional (2D) electron island, have reported observation of an Aharonov-Bohm superperiod, implying fractional statistics of Laughlin quasiparticles. Experimental results clearly show interference of Laughlin quasiparticles in an edge channel of the filling \( f = 1/3 \) fluid circling an \( f = 2/5 \) island. Experimental tests establish that (i) the transport current displaying the interference signal is carried by the e/3 Laughlin quasiparticles in 1/3 FQH fluid [see Figs. 4 and 8 in Ref. 8, Fig. 1b in Ref. 10]; (ii) the interference signal has \( \Delta q = 5h/e \) magnetic flux period and the corresponding \( \Delta q = 2e \) electric charge period (see Ref. 11); and (iii) these superperiods originate in a FQH filling 2/5 island (see Fig. 4(b) in Ref. 9).

The specific model situation proposed here is that of a \( -e/3 \) quasi-electron, which carries the transport current modulated by the interference, encircling an island of the 2/5 FQH fluid. This is the relevant fundamental model since the superperiodic conductance oscillations are observed in the limit of small temperature and excitation, so that the experiment detects superperiodic ground state reconstruction of a 2/5 island embedded in the 1/3 fluid. We develop a microscopic Haldane-Halperin hierarchical model consistent with the experimental observations, including the e/3 quasiparticle statistics \( \Theta_{1/3} = 2/3 \). The model is based on the fact that the island is large, containing ~2000 electrons, so that the fundamental physical processes in the 2/5 island must closely mimic what occurs in the ground state of an infinitely large 2/5 fluid, with an additional constraint that the island is surrounded by the 1/3 fluid. The requirement that the 2/5 island remains in the FQH quasiparticle-containing ground state implies that Coulomb energy must be minimized, even after many periodic island reconstructions.

We first review the physics of quasiparticle-containing ground state of a FQH fluid at a filling factor deviating from the exact filling. Then, we explore the constraints imposed by the topological order incorporated in the Laughlin-Haldane-Halperin FQH theory and show that they lead to superperiodic behavior in the island geometry. The chief effect of the topological order important to the problem under consideration follows from the requirement for an isolated FQH fluid to contain an integer number of electrons. It is easy to see that an island of Haldane-Halperin exact filling condensate at \( f = p/(2p + 1) \) must contain an integer multiple of \( p \) electrons if no quasiparticles are present. The intricacy is to extend this condition to the whole FQH fluid, containing both the condensate and the quasiparticles, incorporating the hierarchy structure of the daughter condensate. Yet this is a manifestation of the FQH topological order. The topological order of the FQH condensates can be parameterized via an anyonic quasiparticle statistical contribution in the Berry phase quantization.

We also show that the quasiparticle construction in terms of composite fermions can be done in one-to-one correspondence. However, quasiparticles are collective excitations of a FQH condensate and thus cannot be represented by single composite fermions; mere counting of composite fermions is
not sufficient to reproduce the experimental results. We show that the topological order of the microscopic wave function can be recovered, to the extent that the superperiodic behavior is concerned, by postulating that an island of FQH fluid at $f = p/(2p+1)$ must contain an integer multiple of the corresponding $p$-composite fermion condensate blocks, as is the case explicitly in the Laughlin-Haldane-Halperin hierarchy theory.

II. THE FQH GROUND STATE

We first recall the basic physics of the ground state of an infinitely large 2D electron system in spatially uniform normal magnetic field $B = |\mathbf{A}| \times |\mathbf{A}|$ in the quantum limit, assuming spin polarization. For $N$ 2D electrons of charge $-e$ embedded in a material with dielectric constant $\varepsilon$, the Hamiltonian is

$$
H = \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{j=1}^{N} [-i \hbar \nabla_j + e\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_j)]^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon e_0} \sum_{j<k}^{N} \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k|} - \sum_{j=1}^{N} eV(\mathbf{r}_j),
$$

where $\mathbf{r}_j$ is the $j$th electron position and $V(\mathbf{r})$ is the potential created in the 2D plane by the positive neutralizing background (that is, the ionized donors and gate electrodes in semiconductor heterostructures).

The noninteracting problem has been solved by Landau. In the symmetric gauge $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r}$, convenient for $N$ electrons on a disk, the solutions form highly degenerate Landau levels with energies (neglecting spin) $E_n = (\hbar e B/\mu)(n + \frac{1}{2})$, where $n = 0, 1, \ldots$. The ground state wave functions can be written as completely antisymmetric Slater determinants of the basis orbitals $\psi_m^0(\mathbf{r})$; for example, the lowest Landau level $n = 0$ orbitals are

$$
\psi_m^0 = \frac{r^n \exp[i m \varphi - r^2/4\sqrt{2\pi 2^n m!}],}
$$

where $\varphi$ is the azimuthal angle, distances are in units of the magnetic length $\ell_0 = (\hbar/eB)^{1/2}$, and the orbital quantum number $m = 0, 1, \ldots$. Every spin-polarized Landau level has one electron state per Landau quantization area $S_0$, which encloses $\hbar/e$ of magnetic flux, $S_0 = 2\pi\ell_0^2 = \hbar/eB$. The $N$-electron Slater determinant for $p = 1, 2, \ldots$ completely filled Landau levels is

$$
\Psi_{p,N} = \begin{vmatrix}
\psi_0^0(z_1) & \psi_0^0(z_2) & \cdots & \psi_0^0(z_N) \\
\psi_1^0(z_1) & \psi_1^0(z_2) & \cdots & \psi_1^0(z_N) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\psi_{Np-1}^0(z_1) & \psi_{Np-1}^0(z_2) & \cdots & \psi_{Np-1}^0(z_N)
\end{vmatrix}. \tag{3}
$$

Note that $N$ must be an integer multiple of $p$ in order to have an electron fluid with $p$ completely filled Landau levels.

The ground state solutions of the full Hamiltonian Eq. (1) are many-electron wave functions $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$, which are not known explicitly except in small–system numerical diagonalization. Certain properties of $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ imposed by the physics of the problem can be reasoned without actually solving the Schrödinger equation with the Hamiltonian Eq. (1). For example, $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ must be completely antisymmetric under exchange of any two electrons. In order to minimize the Coulomb interaction, $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ goes to zero as any two electrons approach each other. Also, in the classical limit minimization of the Coulomb energy results in the Poisson equation for the electron charge density $\rho = -e \nabla^2\Psi$, with small quantum corrections, unless a phase transition to a Wigner crystal occurs, resulting in a constant electron density in the interior of the disk.

A spatially uniform electron density $n$ minimizes the energy of interaction with the uniform positively charged neutralizing background. In analogy to the formulation of the BCS theory for a quasiparticle-containing superconductor, a quantum Hall fluid at Landau level filling $\nu = nh/eB$ is considered to consist of an exact filling $\nu$ incompressible quantum Hall condensate and the charged elementary “excitations” of this condensate, the quasiparticles. While the filling factor $\nu$ is a variable, the quantum Hall exact filling $\nu$ is a quantum number defined as the value of the quantized Hall conductance $\sigma_{xy}$ in units of $e^2/h$ (that is, $\nu = \sigma_{xy}h/e^2$). Thus, the quantum fluid is conceptually separated into the exact filling condensate and its quasiparticles: $n_{\text{QP}} = \nu/eB$ out of the total electron density goes to form the condensate, and $n_{\text{QP}}$ goes to form the quasiparticles. This can be expressed as charge conservation of the mean charge density,

$$
\rho_\nu = -e\left[n_f + (n - n_f)\right] = \rho_f + \rho_{\text{QP}}^\nu. \tag{4}
$$

The integer quantized Hall electron fluid ground state condensate is $\Psi_{\nu,N}$, formed when $\nu$ is an integer $p$. In the noninteracting problem $\Psi_{\nu,N}$ is given by Eq. (3). The quasi-electron elementary excitation is an electron in the $(p+1)$th Landau level, the quasihole is an absence of an electron in the otherwise filled $p$th Landau level. A ground state containing quasielectrons has filling factor $\nu > f$, and a ground state containing quasiholes has $\nu < f$. It can be argued that the interacting integer quantum Hall problem can be mapped onto the noninteracting problem: one cannot speak of $p$ completely occupied Landau levels in the interacting problem; still the quantum Hall gap does form at filling $f = p$, and the filling factor $\nu$ can be varied away from the exact filling, remaining on the $f = p$ plateau, to quasiparticle-containing ground states.

Laughlin has shown that certain kinds of trial wave functions capture the essential physics of the highly correlated FQH ground states, and, in particular, the Laughlin wave functions are known to be exact solutions for short–range interaction (Haldane $V_1$ pseudopotential) Hamiltonians. The filling $f = 1/3$ Laughlin wave function $\Psi_{1/3}$ for $N$ electrons is

$$
\Psi_{1/3} = \prod_{j<k}(z_j - z_k)^3 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \sum_j |z_j|^2\right). \tag{5}
$$
where $z_j = r_j e^{i \vartheta_j}$ are the complex electron coordinates on the disk. Like the integer quantum Hall fluid of interacting electrons, the FQH incompressible condensate has spatially constant charge density $\rho_f$; the compressibility of the total electron system, which allows $n$ to deviate from $f$, results from variation of the quasiparticle mean density $\rho_f^{QP}$. Eq. (4).

The two kinds of FQH quasiparticles are the quasiholes, quantized vortices (deficiencies) in the condensate, created in the FQH fluid ground state for $\nu < f$, and the quasiholes, quantized excesses in the local condensate density, created for $\nu > f$. Thus, a FQH fluid is comprised of an exact filling condensate and the quasiparticles, which are “on top” or “in addition” to the condensate. The local 2D electron density fluctuates from the flat condensate density in a several magnetic length vicinity of the quasiparticle position. For a dilute electron system, which allows FQH fluid state having a quasihole localized at $Q_{PH}$ from what is obtained by integrating the condensate density over sufficiently large area gives a quantized deviation in the conductivity

$$\rho_f = \frac{f e}{S_0},$$  

where the Landau quantization area $S_0$ encloses $h/e$ of flux, $S_0 = \pi \epsilon_{PH}^2 eB$. An arbitrary area $S$ contains electronic charge $\rho_S = -eS/S_0$, split between the condensate $\rho_f S = -feS/S_0$ and the quasihole charge $\rho_f^{QP} S = (f - \nu)eS/S_0$. Neither the expectation value of the number of electrons in area $S$, $\langle N_S \rangle = \int_S dS \Psi^\dagger \Psi = \rho_S S / S_0$, nor the number of quasiparticles, $\langle N_f^{QP} \rangle = \langle e/q \rangle (f - \nu)eS/S_0$, must be integer since area $S$ is arbitrary and is not defined by a physical constraint. This also applies to the number of electrons in the condensate $\langle N_f \rangle = fS/S_0 = \langle N_S \rangle - \langle \rho_f \rangle_S$.

When magnetic field is varied adiabatically, the ground state electron density $\rho_f$ is not affected because it neutralizes the positive background, minimizing Coulomb energy. Any necessary relaxation of the FQH fluid to the ground state can be accomplished via a small, but finite, dissipative diagonal conductivity $\sigma_{xx}$. Thus, in the ground state, quasiparticles are excited out of the FQH condensate. For example, if magnetic field is increased so that a fixed area $S$ contains one more $h/e$ of flux (one more $S_0$ fits into $S$), condensate charge $\rho_f$ increases by $-eS/S_0$, quasihole charge $\rho_f^{QP}$ increases by $+eS/S_0$, and the sum, the electronic density $\rho_f$, is not changed. Thus $\langle N_f \rangle$ is not changed, $\langle N_f \rangle$ increases by $f$, and $\langle N_f^{QP} \rangle$ increases by $(e/q)f$ [or, equivalently, $\langle N_f^{QP} \rangle$ decreases by $(\langle e/q \rangle + f)\langle N_f \rangle$].

So far we discussed mean, average particle densities. Clearly, at the microscopic level the quantized quasiparticles are excited out of condensate in steps of one. As we will see below, in a constricted geometry condensate reconstruction occurs in quantized steps also. These steps correspond to one quasihole for the $f = 1/(2j+1)$ primary Laughlin condensates only. The specific minimal steps of a FQH condensate reconstruction are determined by its Haldane-Halperin hierarchical structure, or, equivalently, by its structure in the composite fermion model. At the mean level, the microscopic condensate reconstruction steps must reproduce the FQH fluid filling factor variation described above. Thus, we see that the quasiparticle content in the FQH ground state is not arbitrary, but is uniquely determined by the filling factor and the minimization of the system’s Coulomb energy.

III. HALDANE-HALPERIN HIERARCHY

In this section we discuss the electron system reconstruction consistent with incompressibility of FQH condensates and minimization of Coulomb energy, without regard to quantization of the encircling quasiparticle orbitals, considered in Sec. IV. In the Haldane-Halperin hierarchy theory the $f = 2/5$ FQH condensate consists of a “maximum density droplet” (MDD) condensate of $-e/3$ quasiholes on top of the exact filling $1/3$ condensate. This can be written as

$$\rho_f = -feS_0$$  

and
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where $N = 2\pi \epsilon_{PH} eB$. An arbitrary area $S$ contains electronic charge $\rho_S = -eS/S_0$, split between the condensate $\rho_f S = -feS/S_0$ and the quasihole charge $\rho_f^{QP} S = (f - \nu)eS/S_0$. Neither the expectation value of the number of electrons in area $S$, $\langle N_S \rangle = \int_S dS \Psi^\dagger \Psi = \rho_S S / S_0$, nor the number of quasiparticles, $\langle N_f^{QP} \rangle = \langle e/q \rangle (f - \nu)eS/S_0$, must be integer since area $S$ is arbitrary and is not defined by a physical constraint. This also applies to the number of electrons in the condensate $\langle N_f \rangle = fS/S_0 = \langle N_S \rangle - \langle \rho_f \rangle_S$.

When magnetic field is varied adiabatically, the ground state electron density $\rho_f$ is not affected because it neutralizes the positive background, minimizing Coulomb energy. Any necessary relaxation of the FQH fluid to the ground state can be accomplished via a small, but finite, dissipative diagonal conductivity $\sigma_{xx}$. Thus, in the ground state, quasiparticles are excited out of the FQH condensate. For example, if magnetic field is increased so that a fixed area $S$ contains one more $h/e$ of flux (one more $S_0$ fits into $S$), condensate charge $\rho_f$ increases by $-eS/S_0$, quasihole charge $\rho_f^{QP}$ increases by $+eS/S_0$, and the sum, the electronic density $\rho_f$, is not changed. Thus $\langle N_f \rangle$ is not changed, $\langle N_f \rangle$ increases by $f$, and $\langle N_f^{QP} \rangle$ increases by $(e/q)f$ [or, equivalently, $\langle N_f^{QP} \rangle$ decreases by $(\langle e/q \rangle + f)\langle N_f \rangle$].
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FIG. 1. Illustration of the 2/5 island surrounded by 1/3 fractional quantum Hall fluid in the Haldane-Halperin hierarchy theory. The total 2D electron system is broken into three components: the incompressible 1/3 exact filling FQH condensate, the incompressible maximum density droplet (MDD) of −e/3 quasielectrons, and the excited e/5 quasiparticles, accommodating the ν<2/5 situation. The path of the −e/3 quasielectron which carries the transport current in the 1/3 fluid encircles the 2/5 island.

\[
\Psi_{2/5} = \Psi_{1/3} \otimes \Psi_{\text{MDD}},
\]

where \(\Psi_{1/3}(z_1, \ldots, z_N)\) is the Laughlin wave function Eq. (5), the Halperin −e/3 quasielectron condensate wave function\(^6\) is

\[
\Psi_{\text{MDD}}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{N'}) = \prod_{j<k}^{N'} (\xi_j - \xi_k)^2(\xi_j - \xi_k)^{-1/3} \times \exp \left( \frac{1}{12} \sum_{n}^{N'} |\xi_n|^3 \right),
\]

and \(\xi_j\) are the quasielectron coordinates in units of \(\xi_0\). The Hilbert space of the wave function Eq. (11) is the product of the \(N\) electron and the \(N'\) quasielectron spaces.

The density of the MDD −e/3 condensate \(n^\text{OF}_{1/3} = 1/5S_0\) is determined by the anlytic statistics of quasielectrons\(^6,14\) the Landau quantization area \(S_0 = 2\pi\ell_0^2 = h/eB\). The resulting total electron charge density \(e/3\) corresponds to the \(f=2/5\) exact filling condensate:

\[
\rho_{2\nu} = -\frac{e}{3S_0} + \frac{-e/3}{5S_0} = -\frac{2e}{5S_0}. \tag{13}
\]

Since the 2/5 condensate is incompressible, both its hierarchical constituents, the 1/3 condensate and the MDD of −e/3 quasielectrons, are incompressible. There is one electron per 3\(S_0\) in \(\Psi_{1/3}(z_1, \ldots, z_N)\), the number of quasielectrons \(N' = 3N'/5\), thus an isolated 2/5 condensate must contain an even number of electrons, \(N + N'/3 = (5/3 + 1/3)N' = 2N'\), in order to have an integer number of quasielectron spaces.

Thus, the 2/5 island embedded in 1/3 fractional quantum Hall fluid is understood as a MDD island of −e/3 quasielectrons on top of the 1/3 condensate, the 1/3 condensate extending beyond the MDD island and completely surrounding it (see Fig. 1). The hierarchical wave function can be written as

\[
\Psi_{2\nu} \text{ in } 1/3 = \Psi_{1/3}(z_1, \ldots, z_N) \otimes \Psi_{\text{MDD}}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{N'}) \tag{14}
\]

with \(N \gg 5N'/3\). The semiclassical island area is 5\(N'S_0 = 5N'h/eB\), where the magnetic field corresponds to the exact island filling. Like an isolated 2/5 condensate, the 2/5 island must contain an even number of electrons, 2\(N'\). This conclusion holds because 2/5 and 1/3 are the immediate parent-daughter FQH condensates in the hierarchy. Note that this immediate parent-daughter relationship also implies that no other incompressible or compressible electron state exists in between the two.

The elementary charged excitations of the 2/5 condensate are the \(\pm e/5\) quasielectrons and quasiholes, excited out of the condensate when the FQH fluid filling \(\nu\) deviates from the exact filling, 2/5. The mean density of the \(\pm e/5\) quasiparticles \(n^\text{QP}_{2\nu}\) can be obtained from conservation of the total electronic charge, Eqs. (4) and (13),

\[
\nu = nS_0 = f \pm e(5f - n)S_{2\nu}/S_0. \tag{15}
\]

This gives \(n^\text{QP}_{2\nu} = \pm 5(f - \nu)/S_0\), where quasielectrons are excited for \(\nu < f\) and quasiholes for \(\nu > f\). In the island geometry, deviation of \(\nu\) from \(f\) may also cause a change in the number \(N^\text{OF}_{1/3}\) of the MDD −e/3 quasielectrons:

\[
N^\text{OF}_{1/3} = n^\text{OF}_{1/3}S = S/5S_0 \tag{16}
\]

in the island of area \(S\). Recalling that the MDD quasielectron condensate is incompressible, the change in their number in a fixed area \(S\) can be accomplished only by variation of \(S_0\), that is, magnetic field.

Increasing \(B\) results in decrease of \(S_0 = h/eB\). When the total flux through the island \(\Phi = BS\) is increased by \(S\delta B = 5h/e\), the number of the MDD quasielectrons \(N^\text{OF}_{1/3} = eBS/5h\) in area \(S\) is incremented by 1. Concurrently, the \(f = 2/5\) island condensate electron density increases by \(\delta\rho_{2\nu} = -\epsilon\delta B/h = -\epsilon(5S\delta B/5hS)\), that is, the total island condensate charge deviates by \(-e\) from neutrality. The mismatched condensate charge is exactly compensated by excitation of ten \(+e/5\) island quasielectrons, Eq. (15), total charge +2\(e\), restoring charge neutrality of the total 2D electron system and the fixed positive background. We therefore identify this minimal (one MDD quasielectron) microscopic quasiparticle reconstruction of the island with the observed\(^8,9\) \(\Delta\Phi = 5h/e\) flux periodicity. Within the period, increasing \(B\), one \(−e/3\) quasielectron is added to the MDD because \(S_0\) decreases, the \(f=1/3\) condensate charge increases by \(-5e/3\) because \(\rho_{1/3} = −e/3S_0\) increases, and ten \(+e/5\) quasiholes are excited.

The \(\pm e/5\) quasiparticles are excitations of the 2/5 condensate at the next level of the hierarchy, in contrast to the MDD quasielectrons, which are part of the 2/5 daughter condensate. Thus, excitation of \(\pm e/5\) quasiparticles does not affect the −e/3 MDD, which is an incompressible constituent of the exact filling 2/5 condensate. To see this clearly, consider an isolated disk of \(N_e\) electrons. At \(B\) corresponding to exact filling \(f=1/3\), the flux through the disk is \(\Phi = 3N_e h/e\), the constant condensate charge density \(\rho_{1/3} = -e/3S_0\) is equal to the electron charge density. Increasing \(B\) to \(B' = B(1 + 1/3N_e)\), so that \(\Phi' = \Phi + h/e\), creates one \(+e/3\) quasihole. The Landau quantization area \(S_0\) decreases to \(S_0' = S_0/N_e(1 + 1/3)\), so that the exact filling (flat) condensate density \(\rho_{1/3}' = -e/3S_0'\) increases exactly by \(-e/3S\) for the whole disk; the electron density \(\rho_e\) develops a notch at the quasihole position, the total electronic charge is still \(-eN_e\). Thus, a quantized quasihole is excited out of the 1/3 condensate, the
1/3 condensate charge is quantized in units of $e/3$.

Similarly, an isolated disk of the 2/5 condensate, with no quasiparticles present, by the hierarchy construction Eq. (11) requires an integer number $N$ of MDD quasielectrons, and must contain an even number $N_e=2N/5$ of electrons and enclose $5N/6$ flux. This also satisfies the additional constraint that an isolated 1/3 FQH fluid, consisting of the 1/3 condensate and its $e/3$ quasiparticles, contain an integer number of electrons. Naively, we can repeat the above argument for one quasiparticle excitation. Increasing magnetic field $B$ to $B'=B+(1/5)N_e$, so that $\Phi'=\Phi+h/2e$, results in excitation of one $e/5$ quasihole. The Landau quantization area $S_0$ decreases to $S_0=\pi(N_e+1/5)$, so that the exact filling condensate density $\rho_{25}=-2e/5S_0$ increases exactly by $-e/5S$ for the whole disk, the total electronic charge is still $-eN_e$. Thus, a quasihole is excited out of the 2/5 condensate, condensate density increases, and the disk area does not change.

However, requiring the $e/5$ quasihole be excitation of the whole 2/5 condensate, 1/6 of its charge ($e/30$) must come out of the $-e/3$ MDD, and $5/6$ ($e/6$) out of the 1/3 condensate to maintain exactness of the 2/5 condensate filling. Then, the 1/3 condensate and the $-e/3$ MDD condensate would contain noninteger multiples of $e/3$, and the disk area would contain a noninteger number of MDD quasielectrons, $N_{1/3}=N'e+1/10$. Excitation of two $e/5$ quasiholes maintains the 1/3 condensate as containing an integer multiple of $e/3$, but the MDD component still has noninteger $N_{1/3}=N'+1/5$. This consideration can be extended until ten $e/5$ quasiholes are excited, when both the MDD and the 1/3 condensate components contain integer multiples of $e/3$. Thus the minimal isolated 2/5 island reconstruction consistent with the Haldane-Halperin hierarchy involves excitation of ten $e/5$ quasiholes and concurrent increment by one in the number of MDD quasielectrons. This is equivalent to the requirement that the 2/5 condensate contain an even number of electrons, even when $e/5$ quasiparticles are present. In other words, the electronic charge liberated by excitation of $e/5$ quasiholes can be accommodated by the 2/5 island condensate only in increments of $2e$. A transfer of charge between the surrounding 1/3 fluid and the 2/5 island in units of $e/3$, which corresponds to one MDD quasielectron, does not restore the 2/5 island to the correct MDD and the 1/3 condensate composition. Thus, the requirement that the number of MDD quasielectrons be an integer leads to the $\Delta_0=5h/e$ island reconstruction superperiod.

It can be argued that in the present geometry the $\pm e/5$ quasiparticles may be excited out of the 1/3 condensate hierarchical component of the 2/5 island condensate, leaving the MDD condensate unaffected, because the 1/3 condensate extends to the electron reservoirs and thus its charge is not a sharp observable. Such a process, however, can maintain the correct ratio between the MDD and the 1/3 condensates, but results in net dipole charging of the island. A true period must contain both charging and discharging subperiodic steps, if any. Several alternative subperiodic 2/5 island reconstructions are possible. Some examples are considered below and in Sec. VII. However, such processes lead to net 2/5 island monopole or dipole charging and, if repeated many times, eventually to huge Coulomb energies, and thus are not viable candidates for a periodic behavior, as discussed in Ref. 8. The precise sequence of subperiodic island reconstruction steps should provide an upper bound on the charging energy involved. Starting at the neutral (equilibrium) 2/5 island area $S$ and field $B$, the first step occurs at $B'$ such that area $S$ contains $h/2e$ more flux, adding an $e/5$ quasihole. Since the 2/5 island must contain flux an integer multiple of $5h/e$ (an integer number of MDD quasielectrons), the island area shrinks by $\pi S_0$. The original area $S$ now has net charge $e/5-e/6=e/30$, in an annulus of uncompensated fixed positive background at the island boundary, neglecting order $eS_0/S$. After fifth of such steps the island has an $e/6$ net annulus charge distribution. Soon thereafter it becomes energetically favorable for the 2/5 island to reconstruct, that is, to acquire an $-e/3$ quasielectron from the 1/3 condensate. This expands its area to include one more MDD quasielectron, that is, $5h/e$ more of flux, and the 2/5 island acquires approximately $-e/6$ net annulus charge, just outside the original $S$. The subsequent $e/5$ quasihole excitation steps discharge the annulus in five steps of $e/30$. The period is completed when the island returns to area $S$ and the net-neutral condition. The maximum macroscopic charging energy during such period is estimated to be under 0.1 $k$, much less than the FQH gap.

IV. BERRY PHASE

In the extreme quantum limit of zero temperature and excitation, the interferometer physics can be mapped adiabatically on the resonant tunneling problem,\textsuperscript{5,20} the fundamental Berry phase periodicities of the two dynamical processes ought to be the same. The many-electron wave functions of the kind considered in Sec. II contain all the information about the FQH fluids; however, in many situations of interest they are not known explicitly, and numerical solutions are limited to too few electrons. The quasiparticles are collective excitations of the many-electron system, and when there is more than one quasiparticle present it may be difficult to understand what features of the many-electron wave function can be identified with individual quasiparticles. Thus, thinking in terms of a few weakly interacting (except for the nonlocal statistical interaction) quasiparticles moving on top of the FQH condensate vacuum, instead of fermionic, but strongly interacting electrons, can greatly simplify description of a FQH system.\textsuperscript{21}

In the symmetric gauge $a(r)=\frac{1}{2}B\times r$ for a rotationally invariant system the ground state wave functions $\Psi_M$ are eigenstates of the total angular momentum and can be labeled by the quantum number $M$.\textsuperscript{14,20} A single-particle, including a single-anyon, Aharonov-Bohm problem can be solved explicitly.\textsuperscript{21} The Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{1}{2\mu} (-i\hbar \partial_t - qA)^2 + qV(r),$$  (17)

where $V(r)$ is the scalar potential that localizes the particle; adiabatic variation of $V(r,t)$ can be used to thread the par-
particle through the desired path $C$. The solution has the form

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \exp \left( \frac{i q}{\hbar} \Phi(\mathbf{r}) \right) \Psi', \quad (18)$$

where $\Psi'$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation without the vector potential, and the “flux function” path integral

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \int_C A(\mathbf{r'}) d\mathbf{r'} \quad (19)$$
is measured from the reference point $O$. The closed eigenstate Aharonov-Bohm orbitals satisfy

$$\gamma_M = \frac{q}{\hbar} \int_C A(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} = \frac{q}{\hbar} \Phi = 2\pi M, \quad (20)$$

where $M$ is an integer. The Aharonov-Bohm phase factor in Eq. (18) is a special case of the Berry phase, exp$(i\gamma)$,

$$\gamma = \oint_C d\mathbf{R} \left( \Psi(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R'}) \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{R}} \Psi(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R'}) \right) \quad (21)$$

and can be obtained by an explicit calculation using potential $V[\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}(t)]$ to localize the particle near position $\mathbf{R}$ to take it adiabatically via the path $C$ encircling the particle at $\mathbf{R}'$.\textsuperscript{21}

Reference \textsuperscript{7} used the adiabatic theorem to calculate the Berry phase of quasiholes in the $f=1/3$ Laughlin wave function Eqs. (5) and (6) on a disk. When a quasihole adiabatically executes a closed path the wave function acquires the Berry phase. Taking counterclockwise as the positive direction, they found the difference between an “empty” loop, containing the FQH condensate “vacuum” only, and a loop containing another quasihole, to be $\Delta \gamma(1) = 4\pi/3$, identified as the statistical contribution. Generalizing the above, we assert that in a main hierarchy sequence FQH fluid at $f$, containing only one kind of quasiparticle, the quasiparticle orbitals are quantized so that the total Berry phase, combining the Aharonov-Bohm and the statistical contributions, is an integer multiple of $2\pi$,

$$\gamma_M = \frac{q}{\hbar} \Phi + 2\pi M = 2\pi M, \quad (22)$$

where $\Theta_f$ is the statistical parameter of the quasiparticles, defined so that upon exchange the wave function acquires a phase factor exp$(i\pi \Theta_f)$, and $N_f$ is the number of other quasiparticles within the orbital.

Generalizing further, to include the situation when more than one kind of quasiparticle is present, but specifically for a $q=-e/3$ quasielectron encircling the 2/5 island, we write

$$\gamma_M = \frac{q}{\hbar} \Phi + 2\pi \left( \Theta_{1/3} N_{1/3} + \Theta_{2/5}^{1/3} N_{2/5} \right) = 2\pi M, \quad (23)$$

$\Theta_{1/3} = \Theta_{-1/3}$ is the statistics of $e/3$ quasiparticles, $N_{1/3}$ is the number of the MDD $-e/3$ quasielectrons being encircled, and $\Theta_{2/5}$ and $N_{2/5}$ refer to the $e/5$ island quasiparticles. The relative statistics $\Theta_{2/5}^{1/3}$ is defined so that an $-e/3$ quasielectron picks up a statistical phase factor of exp$(i\pi \Theta_{2/5}^{1/3})$ upon execution of a loop around a 2/5 quasihole. Note that the “real” $e/3$ quasiparticles cannot exist in the 2/5 FQH fluid; the MDD quasielectrons are a hierarchical constituent of the 2/5 condensate.

When the chemical potential moves between two successive states of the encircling quasiparticle, $\Psi_M \rightarrow \Psi_{M+\Delta M}$, the change in the phase of the system wave function is $2\pi$:

$$\Delta \gamma = \gamma_{M+\Delta M} - \gamma_M = \frac{q}{\hbar} \Delta \Phi + 2\pi \left( \Theta_{1/3} \Delta N_{1/3} + \Theta_{2/5}^{1/3} \Delta N_{2/5} \right) = 2\pi. \quad (24)$$

Here $\Delta \Phi$ is the flux period and $\Delta \gamma$ refer to the corresponding change in the number of the island quasiparticles. Clearly, the $M \rightarrow M+\Delta M$ transition entails $\Delta N_{1/3} = 1$, one more MDD quasielectron (this term is missing in the equations of Refs. 8 and 9). The corresponding increase of the flux through the island is $\Delta \Phi = B S_0 = 5\hbar e$ [see Eq. (16)]. Concurrently $\Delta N_{2/5} = 10$ of $e/5$ quasiholes are excited in the 2/5 island, as discussed in Sec. III. Upon substitution of these numbers Eq. (24) becomes

$$\frac{\Delta \gamma}{2\pi} = -\frac{5}{3} + \Theta_{1/3} + 10\Theta_{2/5}^{1/3} = 1. \quad (25)$$

Two concurrent physical processes comprise the Berry phase period: increase by 1 of the state number of the encircling $-e/3$ quasielectron because the 2/5 island contains one more MDD quasielectron, and the excitation of ten $e/5$ quasiholes in the island. Thus, the physics of the problem under consideration leads to interpretation of Eq. (25) as two simultaneous equations, each with an integer statistical Berry phase period:

$$1/3 + \Theta_{1/3} = 1 \quad (26a)$$
and

$$10\Theta_{2/5}^{1/3} = 2. \quad (26b)$$

Equation (26a) is identical to that in quantum antidots on the $f=1/3$ plateau.\textsuperscript{5,20} Equation (26b) can be understood as sum of two $5\Theta_{2/5}^{1/3}=1$ equations, each for the expected two kinds of the $e/5$ quasiparticle excitation of the $f=2/5$ condensate. These are solved by

$$\Theta_{1/3} = 2/3, \quad (27a)$$
and

$$\Theta_{2/5}^{1/3} = 1/5. \quad (27b)$$

The value $\Theta_{1/3}=2/3$ is in agreement with the expectation,\textsuperscript{6,7,22} and with the quantum antidot experiments.\textsuperscript{5,20,23} The value $\Theta_{2/5}^{1/3}=1/5$ appears to be consistent with what would be obtained in a Berry phase calculation similar to that of Ref. 7, by virtue of Cauchois’s theorem, treating the 2/5 island as the MDD of the $-e/3$ quasielectrons, and including the charge deficiency in the 2/5 condensate created by excitation of an $e/5$ quasihole vortex, and maintaining the path of the adiabatically encircling $-e/3$
quasielectron fixed. Also, note that a $2.5 \hbar/e$ period (excitation of five island quasiparticles) would be possible if $\Theta_{1/3}$ were an integer; thus the observed superperiod entails that both $\Theta_{1/3}^0$ and $\Theta_{1/3}$ must be anyonic. The relative (mutual) statistics of quasiparticles of the two FQH condensates at different filling are meaningful because both quasiparticle kinds are different collective excitations of a single highly correlated electron system comprising the parent-daughter FQH fluid with different fillings. The topological order of FQH condensates is thus manifested by the anyonic statistics of their quasiparticles.

V. EDGE CHANNEL STRUCTURE

The common flux period of $\Delta_0=5\hbar/e$ results from the fundamental coincidence of the parent-daughter relation between the two FQH condensates and only one area appearing in the path integral in the model of Secs. III and IV. The experiment probes the reconstruction of the $2/5$ island embedded in $1/3$ fluid by measuring oscillating conductance of the interferometer. Thus, though not directly relevant to the origin of the superperiodic reconstruction of the island ground state, it is interesting to consider a dynamical transport model where such conductance oscillations may arise. The edge channel structure model consistent with the above microscopic model can be envisioned as an incompressible $1/3$ edge ring enclosing the $2/5$ island. The $2/5$ island may contain $e/5$ quasiparticles in the ground state. Since $1/3$ and $2/5$ FQH fluids are the immediate parent-daughter hierarchical states, there is no other compressible or incompressible FQH state in between.

The transport current is carried into the interferometer region by the $1/3$ edge channel fluid extending beyond the two constrictions. We recall that the transport current is the difference of currents in the two counter-propagating incompressible edge channels held at different potentials. The quantum Hall filling of the current-carrying channels is determined by the constrictor saddle-point electron density, similar to the $f=1$ edge ring in the integer regime. In the integer regime the island interior contains a compressible disk with $\nu>1$, thus accommodating the $\sim 20\%$ higher electron density near the island center. In the fractional regime the $2/5$ island forms in the higher electron density region.

In both regimes, no transport current is carried through the island interior because the equipotentials of the confining potential are closed in the interior. No equipotential extends between the opposite edges in the island [see Fig. 2b of Ref. 11]. The confining potential limits the radial width of the $1/3$ edge channel ring to about $r=115$ nm. About $15\% \epsilon_g$. The tunnel coupling over this distance is quite considerable, $-\exp[-(4/3)(3\pi \epsilon_g)^2]-2 \times 10^{-3}$, so that the encircling $-e/3$ quasielectrons quantum-coherently extend to the $2/5$ island, which they cannot penetrate. The resulting chiral Aharonov-Bohm path effectively skirts the higher filling island. Thus the only area is defined by the encircling quasielectron's Aharonov-Bohm path skirting the $2/5$ island circumference, and the $\Delta_0=5\hbar/e$ periodicity is robust under moderate island perturbations, such as application of a front gate voltage. This model is different from the fortuitous coincidence of two areas and only one type of quasiparticle in the model of Ref. 24.

VI. COMPOSITE FERMIONS

In the composite fermion (CF) model, the FQH condensates at filling $f=p/(2jp+1)$ are modeled as integer quantum Hall states of the $2j$-vortex CFs at filling $p$. Here, $p,j=1,2,\ldots$. The unprojected CF wave functions are constructed as the product

$$\Psi_{p/2jp+1}^{CF} \equiv D^{2j} \Psi_{p,N}$$

of a Jastrow factor

$$D^{2j} = \prod_{i<k} (z_i - z_k)^{2j}$$

and the Slater determinants $\Psi_{p,N}$ for $p$ completely filled Landau levels, each with $N/p$ electrons [Eq. (3)].

The vortex attachment, formally implemented as a Chern-Simons singular gauge transformation $A \rightarrow A + a$, can transmute 2D electrons into composite anyons, composite bosons, and composite fermions. The Chern-Simons gauge field

$$\mathbf{a}(r) = \frac{\Phi^e}{2\pi} \sum_{j} \mathbf{d}_j \varphi_j = \frac{\Phi^e}{2\pi} \sum_{j} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times (r - \mathbf{r}_j)}{|r - \mathbf{r}_j|^2}.$$  

where $\hat{\mathbf{z}} \parallel \mathbf{B}$, attaches fictitious flux $\Phi^e$ to each electron at $\mathbf{r}_j$. To obtain CFs, we bind $0 = -2j\hbar/e$ Chern-Simons flux to each 2D electron; the minus sign here means that the fictitious flux opposes the physical applied $\mathbf{B}$. The “flux quantization” in units of $\hbar/e$ is not physical, but is imposed here by the desire to obtain composite particles with integer, not anyonic, exchange statistics. In the mean field approximation, neglecting quantum field fluctuations, for a spatially uniform 2D electron number density $n$ the vortex attachment “absorbs” $2jn\hbar/e$ out of the physical $\Phi$, so that CFs experience effective mean field $B_{CF} = B - 2jn\hbar/e$. Defining effective CF “Landau level” filling $n_{CF} = n\hbar/eB_{CF}$, the integer quantum Hall effect of CFs occurs when $n_{CF} = p$, an integer (by construction, the CF density is equal to the electron density $n$).

The FQH condensates at $f=p/(2jp+1)$ can be represented as a collection of CF building blocks, each consisting of $p$ of $2j$-vortex CFs and an additional vortex (Fig. 2). The CF condensate block has 2D charge density $\rho_j = -ep/(2jp+1)S_0 = -en$ and occupies area $(2jp+1)S_0$. It may be tempting to think of the quasiparticle elementary excitations as a condensate block with an added (quasielectron) or subtracted (quasihole) $2j$-vortex CF, replacing (annihilating) the parent FQH condensate block. However, the quasiparticles are collective excitations of the parent CF condensate, and should not be thought of as literally a small CF-containing building block with a well-defined area and charge density. Another way of saying this is that a particular microscopic noninteracting electron Landau level distribution is washed out upon multiplication by the Jastrow factor [Eq. (29)], and does not represent the resulting microscopic CF configuration, just as
tron fluid configuration as in Fig. 2, without the additional constraints imposed by the topological order and incompressibility of FQH condensates.

Considering only the CF content of the resulting FQH excitation of quasiparticles out of the condensate can be accomplished by variation of $B$; any given area contains correspondingly varying flux, and excitation of quasiparticles involves transfer of CFs between the condensate and the quasiparticles within that area. Excitation of a CF quasiparticle out of condensate does not involve any CF flux or charge transfer outside of the vicinity where the quasiparticle is created, it merely changes the microscopic CF configuration near the location of that quasiparticle. The intrinsic topological order and incompressibility of FQH condensates can thus be encoded in their composite fermion model structure.

As a CF model of the quasiparticle interferometer, consider a 2/5 condensate island of $N'$ two-vortex CFs occupying area $S$ embedded in the 1/3 condensate of $N$ CFs, a total of $N+N'$ electrons in the 2D plane. The unprojected wave function is

$$\Psi_{2/5}^{\text{CF}}(1/3) = D^2\Psi_{2,N'/1,N}^{\text{CF}},$$

with the Slater determinant containing two filled Landau levels with $N'$ electrons up to the orbital with $m=N'/2-1$. From $m=N'/2$ to $m=N'/2+N'-1$ only the lowest Landau level is occupied:

$$\Psi_{2/5}^{\text{CF}}(1/3) = D^2\Psi_{2,N'/1,N}^{\text{CF}},$$

with the Slater determinant containing two filled Landau levels with $N'$ electrons up to the orbital with $m=N'/2-1$. From $m=N'/2$ to $m=N'/2+N'-1$ only the lowest Landau level is occupied:
The static filling factor variation is achieved by stepping the electron density (thus defining the island boundary) in a uniform magnetic field $B$. An appropriate positive charged background maintains the charge neutrality of the total system. Increasing the magnetic field to $B'$, and therefore the flux through $S$, excites $e/5$ quasiholes from the $2/5$ CF condensate, whose electron density $p_{25} = -2e/S_0$ increases in proportion, so that the total island charge and $N'$ do not change, as discussed in Secs. II and III above. By the literal interpretation of the CF construction, the topological order of the $2/5$ FQH condensate implies that the $2/5$ island must change, as discussed in Secs. II and III above. The minimal periodic island reconstruction comprises addition of one more $2/5$ CF block whose two CFs and one vertex come from the ten excited $e/5$ quasiholes: $-2e + 10(e/5) = 0$ and $5(h/e) - 10(h/2e) = 0$.

In parallel to the island reconstruction model of subperiodic steps presented in Sec. III, excitation of one $-e/5$ quasihole adds charge $-e/5$ and flux $h/2e$ to the $2/5$ condensate, which can not be incorporated into the existing condensate CF blocks, so that the $2/5$ condensate shrinks by $\frac{1}{2}S_0$. A polarization-charged annulus of $+e/30$ develops, which costs (small) Coulomb energy. After several of such steps, it becomes energetically favorable to incorporate one more $2/5$ CF condensate unit into the island rather than to continue increasing the charging energy, with the charged annulus reversing its charge polarity. The period is completed when ten $+e/5$ quasiholes add charge $-2e$ and flux $5h/2e$ to the $2/5$ condensate, which are used to build the new $2/5$ CF block. Upon this period, the $2/5$ condensate reverts to its original equilibrium area and no net-charged annulus exists.

As shown in Fig. 2(b), an $-e/3$ quasielectron block of the $1/3$ FQH fluid has the same CF content as a block of the $f = 2/5$ condensate. Thus, quantization of the $-e/3$ quasielectron path encircling an incompressible $2/5$ condensate can be stated in the CF language as the requirement for the encircling paths to contain an integer multiple of the $2/5$ CF condensate blocks. The superperiod still comes from addition of one more island-encircling $-e/3$ quasi-electron state. In contrast to the Haldane-Halperin hierarchy construction, in the CF model it is not evident that the additional $-e/3$ quasielectron state, $M \to M + \Delta M$, is implied by the additional $2/5$ CF condensate block without postulating the minimal $2/5$ condensate two-CF building block, as shown in Fig. 2(a).

VII. UNPHYSICAL EXAMPLES

Without the constraint of the fundamental FQH physics, one can imagine numerous quasiparticle, CF, or “flux quanta” transfer processes. As an example, consider addition of flux $h/2e$ to the island. Using Laughlin’s gedanken experiment as analogy, this shrinks the island condensate by $\frac{1}{2}S_0$. Assuming that the island condensate can have arbitrary area, exciting one $e/5$ quasihole out of the condensate restores the island to the original area, and the island remains neutral. Note that no $e/3$ quasiparticles are involved. Thus, neglecting the symmetry properties of the FQH condensates, the predicted island reconstruction periodicity is one island quasiparticle, just as in quantum antidots. The island FQH condensate, however, cannot have an arbitrary area, as shown in Sec. III.

Increasing magnetic field to $B' = B + h/eS$, the number of new $S_0'$ fitting into the unchanged island $S$ (“number of flux quanta”) increases by 1. Using the CF quasiparticle building blocks in Fig. 2(c), this breaks up one five-$S_0'$ condensate into two three-$S_0'$ $e/5$ quasi-hole blocks. Thus, the island area $S$ is unchanged, $5 + 1 = 2 \times 3$. This may seem to imply an $h/e$ island reconstruction period. However, as discussed above, such small CF building blocks shown in Fig. 2 do not capture the essential FQH physics. The quasiparticles are collective excitations and cannot be represented by a single-CF or vortex transfer process. In order to capture the essential FQH physics, quasiparticle-containing FQH fluid must still be considered as comprised of an incompressible CF condensate plus its charged collective excitations, the quasiparticles. In an island geometry, the total island FQH fluid contains an integer multiple of CF condensate blocks. The individual CFs for quasielectrons (or their absence for quasiholes) are thus “shared” between all the condensate blocks of the fluid, contributing equally to their charge and flux (area).

As shown in Fig. 2(b), $-e/3$ quasielectron block of the $1/3$ FQH fluid has the same CF content as a block of the $f = 2/5$ condensate. Thus, quantization of the $-e/3$ quasielectron path encircling an incompressible $2/5$ condensate can be stated in the CF language as the requirement for the encircling paths to contain an integer multiple of the $2/5$ CF condensate blocks. The superperiod still comes from addition of one more island-encircling $-e/3$ quasielectron state. In contrast to the Haldane-Halperin hierarchy construction, in the CF model it is not evident that the additional $-e/3$ quasielectron state, $M \to M + \Delta M$, is implied by the additional $2/5$ CF condensate block without postulating the minimal $2/5$ condensate two-CF building block, as shown in Fig. 2(a).
resulting one-CF block is incorporated into the 1/3 condensate. If the magnetic field is kept fixed, the island filling is still exactly 2/5, the island is charged by \(-e\) and shrinks by \(5S_0\) to \(S' = S - 5S_0\), so that the island electronic charge density is now greater than that of the neutralizing background. Also, the 2/5 island is now surrounded by an annulus of net positive charge comprised of the 1/3 condensate, requiring a different singular gauge for each \(M\). Periods \(\Delta \phi = h/e\) and \(\Delta \phi = e/3\), the same as in quantum antidots, have also been observed in a Laughlin quasiparticle interferometer containing 1/3 fluid only. It is not possible to “gauge away” the anyonic term in Eq. (24), describing the superperiodic oscillations observed in the 2/5 island in 1/3 fluid quasiparticle interferometer, because the interior of the interference path contains 2D electrons everywhere.

As another CF-counting example, consider subtraction of a CF from the 2/5 condensate [creation of an \(e/5\) quasi-hole as in Fig. 2(b)], block area \(3S_0\), and its addition to the 1/3 condensate (creation of an \(-e/3\) quasielectron, block area \(5S_0\)). Creation of five \(e/5\) quasiholes in the 2/5 condensate, total charge deficiency \(-e\), shrinks the embedding 2/5 condensate area by \(6S_0\). Such a process is a gedanken shrinkage of the island area while maintaining fillings fixed everywhere; thus it corresponds to neither changing uniform magnetic field nor to any physical gate action. In addition, a net charged disk-annulus dipole is created leading to macroscopic Coulomb energy, as above. From these examples we see that, in general, either the charge is not conserved, or the areas (in units of \(S_0\), that is, flux) do not match. In any case, such CF-counting exercises fail to incorporate essential quantum Hall physics.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

To conclude, two points are in order. (A) We note that a consistent theory of the fractionally charged elementary excitations of a FQH fluid (Laughlin quasiparticles) must incorporate their anyonic statistics. This is evident even in the quantum antidot geometry, where a straightforward description of the \(M \rightarrow M + \Delta M\) sequential transitions is possible only by explicitly including the anyonic statistical contribution, whereas an integer quasiparticle statistics requires a different singular gauge for each \(M\). Periods \(\Delta \phi = h/e\) and \(\Delta \phi = e/3\), the same as in quantum antidots, have also been observed in a Laughlin quasiparticle interferometer containing 1/3 fluid only. It is not possible to “gauge away” the anyonic term in Eq. (24), describing the superperiodic oscillations observed in the 2/5 island in 1/3 fluid quasiparticle interferometer, because the interior of the interference path contains 2D electrons everywhere.

(B) While it is possible to construct a phenomenological composite fermion model of FQH condensates without explicit reference to statistics of quasiparticles, proper composite fermion representation of Laughlin quasiparticles is fully equivalent to that in the Halvande-Halperin hierarchical construction. Accordingly, composite fermion illustration of Laughlin quasiparticles does require quasiparticle anyonic statistics in order to be self-consistent and to agree with the experiment. For example, without regard to the constraints imposed by the topological order and incompressibility of the condensates, there is no reason why the experimental period would not correspond to excitation of a single \(e/5\) quasiparticle out of the 2/5 condensate. Even if the island were charged and the Coulomb blockade were present, it still would be possible to charge the island in increments of \(e = 5(e/5) = 3(e/3)\), contrary to the experiment. The underlying topological order of the FQH condensates is thus demonstrated through the observable anyonic statistics of their elementary charged excitations.
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16 L. D. Landau, Z. Phys. 64, 629 (1930).
22 Reference 7 defines clockwise as the positive direction of circulation; thus their statistics is $-\Theta$; e.g., their quasihole statistics $1/3$ is $\Theta_{1/3} = -1/3 = 2/3 \mod 1$ in right-handed coordinates.